Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,059	KAJIHARA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ERIC S. OLSON	1623	

	ERIC S. OLSON	1623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED March 9, 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 T CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: M					
) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount o	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria	e extension fee ate extension fee		
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked, Arm peph proceived by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely fill may reduce any senared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>709 March 2009</u> . A trief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of date of filing the Notice of Appeal (33 °CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 °CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the applications of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 °CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a canceling a canceling a cancel in the second s		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none.</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>none.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-8.12.13.15 and 16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or atta REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				

/Shaojia Anna Jiang/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623

/Eric S Olson/

Examiner, Art Unit 1623